March 2006

Report on New Patented Drug - Angiomax

Under its transparency initiative, the PMPRB publishes the results of the reviews of new patented drugs by Board Staff, for purposes of applying the PMPRB's Excessive Price Guidelines (Guidelines), for all new active substances introduced after January 1, 2002.

Brand Name: Angiomax

Generic Name: (bivalirudin)

DIN: 02246533 250 mg/vial

Patentee: Oryx Pharmaceuticals

Indication - as per product monograph:

For use as an anticoagulant in patients with unstable angina undergoing percutaneous transluminal

coronary angioplasty (PTCA).

Date of Issuance of First Patent(s) Pertaining to the Medicine: December 14, 1999

Notice of Compliance: October 9, 2002

Date of First Sale: May 8, 2003

ATC Class: B01AE06

Blood and blood forming organs, Antithrombotic agents, Antithrombotic agents, Direct thrombin

inhibitors

APPLICATION OF THE GUIDELINES

Summary

The introductory price of Angiomax was found to be within the Guidelines because the cost of therapy did not exceed the cost of therapy of existing drugs in the therapeutic class comparison and the price did not exceed the range of prices in other comparator countries where Angiomax is sold.

Scientific Review

The PMPRB's Human Drug Advisory Panel (HDAP) recommended that Angiomax be reviewed as a category 3 new drug product (provides moderate, little or no therapeutic advantage over comparable medicines).

The Therapeutic Class Comparison (TCC) test of the Guidelines provides that the price of a category 3 new drug product cannot exceed the prices of other drugs that treat the same disease or condition. Comparators are generally selected from among existing drug products in the same 4th level of the Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) System that are clinically equivalent in addressing the approved indication. The Guidelines provide that it may, however, be appropriate to include products from other ATC classes if they are clinically equivalent for the appropriate indication to the drug product under review. See the PMPRB's Compendium of Guidelines, Policies and Procedures for a more complete description of the Guidelines and the policies on TCCs.

The HDAP recommended Hepalean (*heparin sodium*) combined with Reopro (*abciximab*), and Integrilin (*eptifibatide*) as the most suitable comparators for the conduct of a TCC test. Although these agents are not in the same 4th level ATC class, they are clinically equivalent at addressing the approved indication.

The Guidelines provide that the dosage recommended for comparison purposes will normally not be higher than the maximum of the usual recommended dosage. The recommended comparable dosage regimens for Angiomax and the comparators are based on the respective product monographs and supported by clinical literature.

Price Review

Under the Guidelines, the introductory price for a new category 3 drug product will be presumed to be excessive if it exceeds the prices of all of the comparable drug products in the TCC test, or if it exceeds the prices of the same medicine in the seven countries listed in the *Patented Medicines Regulations*.

Introductory Period (May to June 2003)

| Name | Strength | Dosage Regimen | Unit Price | Cost Per Treatment |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|--|---|
| Angiomax | 250 mg/5 ml | 2.60 ml | \$410.0000 ¹ | \$214.2000 |
| Hepalean Reopro | 10000 units/ml 2 mg/ml | 6.26 ml 11.9 ml | \$0.9580 ² <u>\$107.3300³</u> \$108.2880 | \$5.9971 <u>\$1,277.2270</u> \$1,283.2241 |
| Integrilin Integrilin | 2 mg/ml 0.75 mg/ml | 12.6 ml 201.6 ml | \$3.8000 ³ <u>\$1.1125</u> ³ \$4.9125 | \$47.8800 <u>\$224.2800</u> \$272.1600 |

- Publicly available prices as per the Patented Medicines Regulations
- Ontario Drug Benefit Formulary, January 2003

PPS Pharma, January 2003

In 2003, Angiomax was also being sold in the United States. In compliance with the Guidelines, the price in Canada did not exceed the price in the United States.

Where comparators and dosage regimens are referred to in the Summary Reports, they have been selected by the PMPRB Staff and the HDAP for the purpose of carrying out the PMPRB's regulatory mandate, which is to review the prices of patented medicines sold in Canada to ensure that such prices are not excessive. The publication of these reports is also part of the PMPRB's commitment to make its price review process more transparent.

The information contained in the PMPRB's Summary Reports should not be relied upon for any purpose other than its stated purpose and is not to be interpreted as an endorsement, recommendation or approval of any drug nor is it intended to be relied upon as a substitute for seeking appropriate advice from a qualified health care practitioner.

References - Angiomax

- 1. Bittl JA, Strony J, Brinker JA, et al. Treatment with bivalirudin (*hirulog*) as compared with heparin during coronary angioplasty for unstable or postinfarction angina. N Engl J Med 1995;333(12):764-9.
- 2. Bittl JA, Chaitman BR, Feit F, et al. Bivalirudin versus heparin during coronary angioplasty for unstable or postinfarction angina: final report reanalysis of the Bivalirudin Angioplasty Study. Am Heart J 2001;142:952-9.

- 3. Lincoff AM, Kleiman NS, Kottke-Marchant K, et al. Bivalirudin with planned or provicional abciximab versus low-dose heparin and abciximab during percutaneous coronary revascularization: Results of the Comparison of Abciximab Complications with Hirulog for Ischemic Events Trial (CACHET). Am Heart J 2002;143:847-53.
- 4. Lincoff AM, Bittl JA, Kleiman NS, et al. The REPLACE 1 Trial: A pilot study of bivalirudin versus heparin during percutaneous coronary intervention with stenting and GP Ilb/IIIa blockade (abstract 1053-3). J Am Coll Cardiol 2002:39(suppl A):16-17A.
- 5. Lincoff AM, Bittl JA, Harrington RA, et al. Bivalirudin and provisional glycoprotein Ilb/Illa blockade compared with heparin and planned glycoprotein Ilb/Illa blockade during percutaneous coronary intervention. JAMA 2003;289:853-64.
- White HD, Aylward PE, Frey MJ et al. Randomized, Double-blind Comparison of Hirulog Versus Heparin in Patients Receiving Streptokinase and Aspirin for Acute Myocardial Infarction (HERO). Circulation 1997;96:2155-61.http://circ.ahajournals.org/cgi/content/full/96/7/2155?maxtoshow=?eaf
- 7. HERO-2 trial investigators. Thrombin-specific anticoagulation with bivalirudin versus heparin in patients receiving fibrinolytic therapy for acute myocardial infarction: the HERO-2 randomized trial. Lancet 2001;358:1855-63.
- 8. Serruys PW, Herrman JP, Simon R, et al. A comparison of hirudin with heparin in the prevention of restenosis after coronary angioplasty. N Engl J Med 1995;333:757-63.
- 9. Popma JJ, Ohman EM, Weitz J, et al. Antithrombotic therapy in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention. Chest 2001;119 (suppl): 312S-336S.

- 10. Braunwald, et al. ACC/AHA 2002 Guideline Update for the Management of Patients with Unstable Angina and Non-ST-Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction. Internet address: http://www.acc.org/clinical/guidelines/unstable/update_index.htm Accessed November 2003.
- 11. Choo JK, Young JJ, Kereiakes DJ. A guide to drug use during percutanous coronary intervention. Drugs 2002;62(18):2589-601.
- 12. Caron MG, McKendall GR. Bivalirudin in percutaneous coronary intervention. Am J Health-Syst Pharm 2003;60:1841-9.
- 13. Compton A. A practical cost analysis of bivalirudin. Pharmacotherapy 2002; 22(6 part 2):119S-127S.